

Index

- African-Americans 2, 83, 84, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 95, 98, 99, 100, 148
- air pollution
 - and asthma 128
 - and exurban development 50
 - and lung cancer 129
- American Housing Survey (AHS) data 139–40
- Asians 72, 87
- asthma
 - and air pollution 128
 - extent 128
- automobile dependence 1, 5, 123, 126
- Baltimore County (MD)
 - neighborhood quality 145
 - urban containment plan 45–6
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) 132, 133
- Body Mass Index (BMI)
 - calculation 132 n. 2
 - limitations 132–3
 - and obesity 132–3
- Boulder (CO)
 - Growth Limitation Ordinance 43
 - urban containment 6, 10, 19, 131
 - map 43
 - urban containment plan 42–4
 - Valley Comprehensive Plan 44
- brownfield, vs greenfield development 19
- built environment 121
 - meaning 122
 - and obesity 124, 125
 - and public health 121, 123
 - renewal 147
- case law
 - Buchanan v. Warley* 84
 - Shelley v. Kraemer* 84
 - Village of Euclid v. Ambler Realty Co.* 117, 123
- Central Business District (CBD) 54
- central city revitalization
 - effectiveness 109
 - pessimism about 110
 - suburb absorption 110–11
 - and urban containment 4, 111–19
 - construction activity 112–17
 - policy implications 118–19
 - sample data 111–12
- chronic diseases
 - and urban containment 135
 - and urban sprawl 125, 126, 135
- cities, outward migration 72
 - see also* central city revitalization
- Clawson, Marion 15
- construction
 - data 103–4
 - sources 104
 - metropolitan areas 105, 106
 - rehabilitation 106
- Davies, H. W. E., *Planning Control in Western Europe* 9
- Denver (CO)
 - neighborhood quality 145, 146
 - urban containment 133, 142
- diabetes
 - and obesity 124
 - and urban sprawl 126
- employment, and exurban development 55, 56
- energy conservation, and urban containment 11
- England, Elizabethan, urban containment 8
- environmental health
 - definition 122
 - and urban sprawl 121
 - see also* public health
- Euclid (OH), planning case 117, 123
- Europe, Western, urban containment 9
- exurban development 50–4
 - and air pollution 50
 - benefits 56

- concerns about 55–6
- cost 49, 55
- disbenefits 50
- and employment 55, 56
- features 49
- growth 50–1
 - and land loss 55
 - public policies 53–4
 - push-pull factors 53–4
 - technological factors 53
 - theoretical issues 54
 - and traffic congestion 55
 - and urban containment 2, 57–70
 - implications 69–70
 - land density change 63–9
 - measurement 61–3
 - regression analyses 70
 - research design-method 57–60
 - statistical relationship 60
 - urban level services 55–6
- exurbanites 50, 52, 55, 56

- Federal Housing Administration (FHA) 84
- Florida, urban containment 6
- Frumkin, Howard 123

- garden cities 8, 9, 10
- gentrification
 - disbenefits 71, 81
 - meaning 71–2, 73
 - measurement 73
 - perceived effects 72
 - research, Portland (OR) 72–81
 - and urban containment 2, 16, 72–81
- Great Britain, greenbelt plans 8–9
 - see also* England
- The Great Depression 9
- Greater London Council, greenbelt plans 8–9
- green space
 - preservation 7
 - and public health 124
- greenbelt plans
 - Great Britain 8–9
 - Greater London Council 8–9
- greenfield, vs brownfield development 19
- growth
 - controls 7
 - management 1, 7
 - see also* smart growth

- Hall, Peter, *The Containment of Urban England* 18
- Hawaii, urban containment 6, 9, 130–1
- Hispanics 72, 84
- Honolulu (HI) 34
 - urban containment 57
- house prices
 - Portland (OR) 3, 18, 71
 - and urban containment 3, 18, 71, 94–6, 98, 100
 - and urban containment plans 27
- housing, mandatory, and racial segregation 86–7
- Howard, Ebenezer 8, 9
- hypertension, and urban containment 134

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (1991) 11

- Kansas City (KS), racial segregation 83, 84
- Kunstler, James 10

- land
 - exurban development 55
 - exurbanized, density change 64–9
 - urbanized, density change 63, 67–9
- Land Conservation and Development Act (1973), Oregon 9, 38, 133
- land management, and urban containment plans 26
- land value, and distance from city centre 15
- Las Vegas, urban containment 57, 59, 133
- Latinos 84, 87
- Lewis, Paul G. 47
- Lexington & Fayette Co. (KY)
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - urban containment 6, 9, 19, 21, 23, 130
 - urban containment boundaries 93
- Lincoln-Lancaster County (NE)
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - urban containment plan 40–1
 - map 41
- Los Angeles, urban containment 19, 20, 57, 59
- lung cancer, and air pollution 129

- Marin County (CA), urban containment 19
- mental health, and urban sprawl 129
- metropolitan economic development, and urban containment 103–7

- Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) 11, 25
- Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)
 - African-American households 2
 - population
 - density change 61–3
 - growth 57–9
 - urban containment 57–9, 69
- Miami-Dade Co. (FL), urban containment 6, 10, 20, 131
- Minneapolis-St. Paul
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - urban containment 6, 10, 21, 23, 60, 131
 - urban containment boundaries 20, 56–7
- Montgomery County (MD), urban containment 19
- Mumford, Lewis, regional planning 9
- natural barriers, urban containment 2, 6, 19, 20, 57, 59–60, 69, 133
- neighborhood, definition 139
- neighborhood quality
 - AHS data use 140
 - Baltimore County (MD) 145
 - Denver (CO) 145
 - measurement 139–40
 - Portland (OR) 145
 - regression analysis 141–6
 - San Francisco 145
 - trends 140–1
 - and urban containment 4, 137–46
- New Deal 10
- New England, early, urban containment 6, 130
- New Regionalism 110–11
- new towns
 - development 10–11
 - and urban containment 8
- New York City 110
- obesity
 - and BMI 132–3
 - and the built environment 124, 125
 - deaths attributable to 124
 - and diabetes 124
 - effects of 124
 - extent 124, 132
 - and physical activity 125
 - and urban containment 133–4
 - and urban sprawl 124–7
- Oregon
 - Land Conservation and Development Act (1973) 9, 38, 133
 - urban containment 6, 9, 21, 23, 131, 133
 - see also* Portland (OR)
- passenger vehicles, and public health 131–2
 - see also* automobile dependence
- Petaluma (CA), urban containment 19
- physical activity
 - and obesity 125
 - and urban sprawl 125–7
- “planning gain” 19
- population, MSAs
 - density change 61–3
 - growth 57–9
- Portland (OR)
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - gentrification research 72–81
 - data 74
 - hypotheses 74
 - methodology 75
 - model 74–5, 76
 - regression analyses, variables 75–6
 - results 76–9
 - tenure changes 76–80
 - house prices 3, 18, 71
 - Metro 10, 20, 38, 86
 - Metropolitan Housing Rule 38–9
 - neighborhood quality 145
 - racial segregation 83
 - regional planning 10, 38
 - Single Family Residential units 75, 76
 - urban containment 9–10, 20, 23
 - boundaries 2, 20, 57, 73, 93, 114–15
 - plan 38–9
 - urban containment boundaries 20, 57, 73, 93
- public health
 - and the built environment 121, 123
 - and green space 124
 - and passenger vehicles 131–2
 - and urban containment 4, 130–5
 - and urban sprawl 123–4
- public services, within urban containment boundaries 24
- quality of life
 - measurement 138–9

- and urban containment 148
- see also* neighborhood quality
- racial segregation
 - creation of 83–4
 - decline 83
 - Kansas City (KS) 83, 84
 - and mandatory housing 86–7
 - measurement
 - analytical model 88
 - data 87–8
 - dependent variables 88
 - experimental influences 89–90
 - implications 92
 - index of dissimilarity 87
 - population controls 88
 - regional controls 89
 - results 90–1
 - socioeconomic controls 89
 - Portland (OR) 83
 - and urban containment 83–92
- regional planning
 - Lewis Mumford 9
 - Portland (OR) 10, 38
- Reilly, W. K. 8
- rental housing, affordable, and urban containment 3, 96–7, 98–101
- respiratory effects, urban sprawl 127–9
- rural
 - urban landscapes, definitions 52–3
 - urban population growth, comparison 51–2
- rural land uses, urban development, model 14–15
- Rusk, David 110, 111
- Sacramento (CA)
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - urban containment 6, 131
- San Francisco
 - neighborhood quality 145
 - urban containment 19, 60, 117
- Sarasota Co. (FL), urban containment 6, 10, 21, 23, 131
- Satcher, David, Surgeon General, *Call to Action* 121
- Sierra Club 128
- Sioux Falls (SD)
 - construction activity 113, 114
 - urban containment 6, 19, 23, 131
- smart growth 7, 92, 104, 105, 106, 107, 137
- Smart Growth America 125
- Smart Growth Task Force 123
- smog, effects 128–9
- social equity, urban containment 119
- Spectorsky, Auguste, *The Exurbanites* 50
- Sprawl Index 134, 135
- suburban development 1, 5, 52
- sustainability 7
- Town and Country Planning Act (1947) 8
- traffic congestion, and exurban development 55
- Transportation Efficiency Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) 11
- Tugwell, Rexford G. 10
- Twin Cities *see* Minneapolis–St. Paul
- UK, urban containment 18–19, 56
 - see also* Great Britain
- urban
 - rural landscapes, definitions 52–3
 - rural population growth, comparison 51–2
- urban containment
 - and affordable rental housing 3, 96–7, 98–101
 - approaches 18
 - arguments
 - against 12
 - for 6–7, 16
 - biblical times 8
 - Boulder (CO) 6, 10, 19, 131
 - bounded metropolitan 20
 - central city revitalization 4, 111–19
 - and chronic diseases 135
 - coordination issues 47
 - Denver (CO) 133, 142
 - early examples 6
 - effects 103
 - Elizabethan England 8
 - and energy conservation 11
 - Europe, Western 9
 - extent 6, 10, 11
 - and exurban development 2, 57–70
 - Florida 6
 - and gentrification 2, 16, 72–81
 - Hawaii 6, 9, 130–1

- history 8–11, 18, 130–1
- Honolulu (HI) 57
- and house prices 3, 18, 71, 94–6, 98, 100
- and hypertension 134
- and the land market 11–16
- Las Vegas 57, 59, 133
- Lexington & Fayette Co. (KY) 6, 9, 19, 21, 23, 130
- Los Angeles 19, 20, 57, 59
- Marin County (CA) 19
- meaning 7, 24, 93
- and metropolitan economic development 103–7
- Miami-Dade Co. (FL) 6, 10, 20, 131
- Minneapolis-St. Paul 6, 10, 21, 23, 60, 131
- Montgomery County (MD) 19
- MSAs 57–9, 69
- natural barriers 2, 6, 19, 20, 57, 59–60, 69, 133
- and neighborhood quality 4, 137–46
- and new building 3
- New England, early 6, 130
- and new towns 8
- and obesity 133–4
- Oregon 6, 9, 21, 23, 131, 133
- Petaluma (CA) 19
- policies 6, 131
 - key factors 17
- Portland (OR) 9–10, 20, 23
- and public health 4, 130–5
- purposes 1, 5, 16–18, 24, 117, 130, 137–8
- and quality of life 148
- and racial segregation 83–92
- rationale 6–7
- rental housing, supply 3
- Sacramento (CA) 6, 131
- San Francisco 19, 60, 117
- Sarasota Co. (FL) 6, 10, 21, 23, 131
- scales of 1, 19–21
 - bounded metropolitan 20
 - natural containment 20
 - submetropolitan 19
 - unbounded metropolitan 20
- Sioux Falls (SD) 6, 19, 23, 131
- social equity 119
- and societal improvement 148
- submetropolitan 19–20
- typologies 1, 23–35, 37
- UK 18–19, 56
- unbounded metropolitan 20
- USA 18, 19–20
- Ventura Co. (CA) 19, 21, 23
- Washington State 6
- urban containment boundaries
 - characteristics 24
 - definition 1–2, 25
 - and land value 15
 - Lexington & Fayette Co. (KY) 93
 - Minneapolis-St. Paul 20, 56–7
 - optimum size 16–17, 17–18
 - Portland (OR) 2, 20, 57, 73, 93, 114–15
 - public services within 24
- urban containment plans
 - Baltimore County (MD) 45–6
 - Boulder (CO) 42–4
 - characteristics 24–7
 - cluster analysis 27–31
 - coding 26
 - methodology 32–5
 - and house prices 27
 - identification 25
 - and land management 26
 - Lincoln-Lancaster County (NE) 40–1
 - objectives 17
 - policies 26–7
 - Portland (OR) 38–9
 - purposes 16–18
 - sample criteria 25–6
 - strong-accommodating 27, 37–9
 - examples 28
 - features 38
 - strong-restrictive 42–4
 - examples 28
 - features 42
 - time scale 24
 - types 2, 27–31
 - dimensions 23–4, 27–31
 - weak-accommodating 27, 39–41
 - examples 28
 - features 40
 - weak-restrictive 44–6
 - examples 28
 - features 45
- urban development, rural land uses, model 14–15

- urban growth boundaries *see* urban containment boundaries
- urban land market
 - efficiency criteria 12–13
 - government intervention, need for 13–14
 - and urban containment 11–16
- urban sprawl 12, 13, 49, 50
 - and chronic diseases 125, 126, 135
 - and diabetes 126
 - and environmental health 121
 - and mental health 129
 - and obesity 124–7
 - and physical activity 125–7
 - and public health 123–4
 - respiratory effects 127–9
 - technology drivers 122
 - see also* exurban development; Sprawl Index
- USA, urban containment 18, 19–20
- Ventura Co. (CA), urban containment 19, 21, 23
- Washington State, urban containment 6
- zoning *see* urban containment