

# Index

- accountability 244–6
- argument
  - checklist for checking 49–67
  - clarifying ideas in 67–70
  - compared to assertion 40
  - criticism of
    - common logical errors 84–7
    - introduced 73–6
    - invalidity test 87–90
    - logic criticism introduced 77;  
*see also* logic criticism
    - premise criticism introduced 97–8;  
*see also* premise, criticism
  - patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
  - patterns
    - means/end, outlined 65–7
    - set inclusion, outlined 64–5
  - types
    - chained 115–16, 167, 170–71
    - independent conclusion 171–2
    - independent rationale 169, 170,  
174–5
    - joint conclusion 172–3
    - joint rationale 168–9, 170, 175–9
    - simple 170, 180–82
  - structuring 41–8
    - and inference words 42–6
- consequentialist ethics 268–70, 282–3
- deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 213–16
- descriptive ethics 264
- deontological ethics 270–73
- ‘development’, *see* ‘growth’ and  
‘development’
- dispute closures 201–13, 216–17, 222–4
- duties and rights 259–61
- equity 246–51
- ethical theory, broad types of 264–6
- ethics and religion 283–4, 289–90, 292–3
- extended enquiry
  - broad features of 154–8
  - complexities concerning, overview of  
159–61
  - closures, *see* dispute closures
- freedom 255–9
- ‘growth’ and ‘development’ 239–42
- hybrid normative ethical views 273–4
- inference words
  - insertion of 43–6
  - introduced 42–3
- invalidity test 87–90
- logic criticism
  - common logical errors 84–7
  - invalidity test 87–90
  - of means/end arguments 82–6
  - patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
  - of set inclusion arguments 78–82
- ‘maximizing potential’ 242–4
- means/end arguments
  - common logical faults of 84–7
  - outlined 65–7
- metacognition
  - introduced 120–21
- metacognitive
  - deliberation
    - contrasted with metacognitive  
reviews 129–30
    - explained 135–8
    - possible problems with 142–3
  - reviews
    - contrasted with metacognitive  
deliberation 129–30

- explained 130–34
- tilts and deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 193–201, 213–16
- voices 146–50, 190–93
- ‘needs’ 229–37
  - and wants 235–6
- meta-ethics 265–6, 274–94
  - objectivism 275–6, 278–90
  - naturalism 278–84
  - non-naturalism 284–90
  - subjectivism 276–7, 291–4
- normative ethics 265, 267–74
- patching logical holes 90–95, 217–21
- premise
  - criticism
    - common architecture of 100–101
    - a common error of 109–11
    - a common technique of 111–13
    - of conceptual premises 101–4
    - of descriptive premises 98–101
    - introduction 97–8
    - of moral premises 104–7
  - defence
    - of conceptual premises 114–15
    - of descriptive premises 114
    - introduction 113–14
    - of moral premises 115
- propositions
  - aesthetic 26–7
  - ambiguous
    - introduced 24–5
    - summary of 27–8, 34
  - conceptual 30–34
    - criticism of 101–4
    - defence of 114–15
    - disputes involving 165–7
  - descriptive
    - contrasted with moral 13–28
    - criticism of 98–101
    - defence of 114
    - disputes involving 161–4
    - summary of 27
  - mixed 28–30, 34
  - moral
    - clue words for 21–5
    - contrasted with descriptive 13–28
    - criticism of 104–7
    - defence of 115
    - summary description of 27
  - ‘relevant’ 237–8
  - religion and ethics 283–4, 289–90, 292–3
  - respect 251–4
  - rights and duties 259–61
  - set inclusion arguments
    - common logical fault of 86–7
    - outlined 64–5
  - tilts and deep moral clashes 131–4, 182–9, 193–201, 213–16
  - tolerance 254–5
  - ‘useful’ 239
  - voices 146–50, 190–93