

Acknowledgements

The editor and publishers wish to thank the following for permission to use copyright material.

Blackwell Publishing Limited for the essay: Kenneth Henley (1990), 'Protestant Hermeneutics and the Rule of Law: Gadamer and Dworkin', *Ratio Juris*, **3**, pp. 14–28. Copyright © 1990 Blackwell Publishing Limited.

Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence for the essay: Dennis M. Patterson (1989), 'Authorial Intent and Hermeneutics', *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence*, **2**, pp. 79–83.

Cardozo Law Review for the essay: Fred Dallmayr (1990), 'Hermeneutics and the Rule of Law', *Cardozo Law Review*, **11**, pp. 1449–69. Copyright © 1990 Cardozo Law Review.

Chicago-Kent Law Review for the essays: John T. Valauri (2000), 'Interpretation, Critique, and Adjudication: The Search for Constitutional Hermeneutics', *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, **76**, pp. 1083–99; R. George Wright (2000), 'Traces of Violence: Gadamer, Habermas, and the Hate Speech Problem', *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, **76**, pp. 991–1014.

Continuum International Publishing Group Ltd for the essay: Hans-Georg Gadamer (1989), 'The Recovery of the Fundamental Hermeneutic Problem', in Hans-Georg Gadamer, *Truth And Method* (2nd, rev. edn), trans. Joel Weinsheimer and Donald Marshall New York: Continuum Publishing, pp. 307–41.

Copyright Clearance Center for the essay: Walter Gregory Leyh (1988), 'Dworkin's Hermeneutics', *Mercer Law Review*, **39**, pp. 851–66; William N. Eskridge, Jr (1990), 'Gadamer/ Statutory Interpretation', *Columbia Law Review*, **90**, pp. 609–81.

Melbourne University Law Review for the essays: Alexander Reilly (1999), 'Reading the Race Power: A Hermeneutic Analysis', *Melbourne University Law Review*, **23**, pp. 476–98; Mark Burton (1997), 'Determinacy, Indeterminacy and Rhetoric in a Pluralist World', *Melbourne University Law Review*, **21**, pp. 544–83.

Michigan Law Review Association for the essay: Stephen M. Feldman (1996), 'The Politics of Postmodern Jurisprudence', *Michigan Law Review*, **95**, pp. 166–202. Copyright © 1996 The Michigan Law Review Association.

Francis J. Mootz III for the essay: Francis J. Mootz III (Forthcoming 2007), 'Responding to Nietzsche: The Constructive Power of *Destruktion*', *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, pp. 1–34. The final definitive version of this essay will be published in, *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, **3**, pp. 127–54, 2007 by Sage Publications Ltd. It is available online at: <http://online.sagepub.com/>.

Notre Dame Law School for the essay: George Wright (1987), 'On a General Theory of Interpretation: The Betti–Gadamer Dispute in Legal Hermeneutics', *American Journal of Jurisprudence*, **32**, pp. 191–243.

Tulane Law Review Association for the essay: Lawrence B. Solum (1989), 'Originalism as Transformative Politics', *Tulane Law Review*, **63**, pp. 1599–629. Copyright ©1989 Tulane Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.

Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities for the essay: Georgia Warnke (1997), 'Law, Hermeneutics, and Public Debate', *Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities*, **9**, pp. 395–413. Copyright © 1997 Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities.

Every effort has been made to trace all the copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publishers will be pleased to make the necessary arrangement at the first opportunity.